

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/092,629	03/08/2002	Moises Cisneros Rached	218446US23X	8678
22850 7	590 07/28/2003			
•	VAK, MCCLELLAN	EXAMINER		
1940 DUKE S' ALEXANDRI			SZEKELY, PETER A	
•			. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

1714
DATE MAILED: 07/28/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/092,629	RACHED ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Peter Szekely	1714					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
- Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the read patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thir eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AF	ty (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communical	tion.				
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	08 March 2002 .						
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application	ation.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction aron Papers	nd/or election requirement.						
9)□ 1	The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 March 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
:	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the paper application from the International ce the attached detailed Office action for a	oriority documents have been (Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National Stage					
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dom			tion).				
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(5) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•				

Application/Control Number: 10/092,629 Page 2

Art Unit: 1714

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 2. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a second temperature of 360F-430F, does not reasonably provide enablement for a second temperature of greater than 360F. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. See page 4, lines 11-12.
- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 4, 5, 10, 16, 17 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. In claims 10 and 22 a comma is missing in line 6, before "elemental sulfur". Claims 4, 5, 16 and 17 contain unexplained abbreviations, i.e. SBS, SBR and BR. There cannot be any unexplained abbreviations in the claims.
- 6. The term "high molecular weight" in claims 5 and 17 is a relative term, which renders the claim indefinite. The term "high molecular weight" is not defined by the claims, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite

Application/Control Number: 10/092,629 Page 3

Art Unit: 1714

degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. The height of the molecular weight is immaterial.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 13-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(anticipated) as being anticipated by Krivohlavek 5,256,710 or Binder et al. 3,963,659.
- 9. Krivohlavek discloses crosslinkable polymers, asphalt and reactive phenol aldehyde resin in claim 1, radial and block copolymers in claim 8 and sulfur and sulfur donors in claims 4, 5 and 9. Binder et al. teach ethylene propylene rubber and asphalt in claim 1, EPDM in column 2, lines 37-55 peroxides, sulfur and triallyl cyanurate in column 8, lines 50-55. Applicants' claims are not novel.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein

Application/Control Number: 10/092,629

Art Unit: 1714

were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

- 12. Claims 13-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Krivohlavek 5,256,710, Binder et al. 3,963,659, in view of Burel et al. 5,428,085 or Puzic et al. 6,414,056.
- 13. The primary references have been discussed already. Burel et al. in claim 38 and Puzic et al. in claim 2 show the equivalence of styrene-butadiene rubbers and olefin rubbers, proving that the substitution of one for the other would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made.
- 14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter Szekely whose telephone number is (703) 308-2460. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 a.m.-5:30 p.m. Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (703) 306-2777. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/092,629

Art Unit: 1714

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

0661.

Peter Szekely

Primary Examiner

Page 5

Art Unit 1714

P.S. July 24, 2003